

March 15, 1984

LB 682

SENATOR LANDIS: 682 applies to NRD's, cities, counties and school in those situations. In the event, for example, one of those officeholders hired their spouse, that would be a disclosable fact, yes.

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay. What if it is...what if it is a live-in girl friend that somebody lives with all the time but they are not technically married?

SENATOR LANDIS: Then no, that's not a disclosable fact.

SENATOR DECAMP: Why?

SENATOR LANDIS: Well, the law usually has a higher standard for the spouse or for the parent or for the child, for a blood relative, than an affectional relationship.

SENATOR DECAMP: So then the fact that...the fact of marriage does make a difference. They are two different individuals. I know in the discussion yesterday we heard, for example, that because somebody had a close relationship, that automatically made them one and the same person. I guess I am confused. But I am not going to worry about it now.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on the Landis amendment? Senator Jacobson, do you want to say something?

SENATOR JACOBSON: Mr. President, members, I would like to support Landis' amendment and also the bill so it will save another speech when the bill comes up. This cleans up last year's bill which we determined a bad one, LB 370, which caused felony charges to be filed against any city or county or NRD person selling stuff to a public subdivision and not filing his bills with the Disclosure Commission. This cleans it down to a misdemeanor and it provides that the City Clerk or the Clerk of the NRD or whatever the unit is, can file these things with the State Disclosure Commission and absolves the person that actually did the selling. I move the adoption of the amendment and later of the bill. Thank you.